arXiv:1701.08233v1 [math.RA] 28 Jan 2017

The variety of 2-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field [1]

Ivan Kaygorodov[a], **Yury Volkov**[b]

[a] Universidade Federal do ABC, CMCC, Santo André, Brazil.
[b] Saint Petersburg state university, Saint Petersburg, Russia.

E-mail addresses:
Ivan Kaygorodov (kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com),
Yury Volkov (wolf86_666@list.ru).

Abstract. The work is devoted to the variety of 2-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field. Firstly, we classify such algebras modulo isomorphism. Then we describe the degenerations and the closures of principal algebra series in the variety under consideration. Finally, we apply our results to obtain analogous descriptions for the subvarieties of flexible, and bicommutative algebras. In particular, we describe rigid algebras and irreducible components for these subvarieties.

Keywords: 2-dimensional algebras, orbit closure, degeneration, rigid algebra

1. Introduction

In this paper, an algebra is simply a vector space over a field with bilinear binary operation that doesn't have to be associative. Algebras of a fixed dimension form a variety with a natural action of a general linear group. The algebraic classification of algebras is the classification of isomorphism classes of algebras. In other words it is the classification of orbits under the action of the general linear group. There are many classifications for varieties of algebras of some fixed dimension satisfying some polynomial identities. For example, there exist algebraic classifications of 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras, 3-dimensional Novikov algebras, 4-dimensional Jordan algebras, 4-dimensional Leibniz algebras, and 6-dimensional Lie algebras.

In this paper we classify all 2-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field. It is not the first work devoted to this problem, classifications of different types were made in [1, 10, 24], but some of them contain inaccuracies, some of them are not well organized and all of them are not convenient for our main goal, the geometric description of the algebraic variety of 2-dimensional algebras. By this reason, we give a classification that is valid over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. In the same part of the paper, we also describe automorphism groups for all algebras under consideration. Note that there are other interesting structures on the set of 2-dimensional algebras. One of them, the structure of conservative algebra defined by I. Kantor, was developed in [17, 18].

In the main part of our paper we develop the geometry of the variety of 2-dimensional algebras. Namely, we describe the closures of orbits of some sets with respect to Zariski topology. Firstly, we describe all possible degenerations, i.e. closures of orbits of one point sets. Degenerations is an interesting subject, which was studied in various papers (see, for example, [2–9, 11–13, 15, 16, 21–23, 25]). One of the problems in this direction is to describe all degenerations in a variety of algebras of some fixed dimension satisfying some set of identities. For example, this problem was solved for 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras in [2], for 3-dimensional Novikov algebras in [3], for 4-dimensional Lie algebras in [6], for 4-dimensional Zinbiel and nilpotent Leibniz algebras in [16], for nilpotent 5- and 6-dimensional Lie algebras in [11, 25], and for nilpotent 5- and 6-dimensional Malcev algebras in [15]. As an application of our results, one can easily recover the results of [2].

Another interesting notion concerning degenerations is the so-called level of an algebra. The algebras of the first level and the associative, Lie and Jordan algebras of the second level are classified in [19, 20]. In the papers [7–9], the author defined the notion of an infinite level and described all anticommutative algebras that have an infinite level not greater than 3. This notion is much easier in the sense that the infinite level of an algebra can be easily expressed in terms of the usual level. Algebras of low dimension play a special role in problems of such type, because they have small levels. The description of degenerations obtained in this work allows to compute the level for all 2-dimensional algebras.

The next result of this paper is the description of closures of orbits of some principal series that appear during the algebraic classification. Another important characteristic of a variety is its partition to irreducible components. The notion of a rigid algebra is closely related to this characteristic, because orbit closures of such algebras form irreducible components. For example,

[1] I. Kaygorodov was supported by FAPESP 14/24519-8.

irreducible components and rigid algebras were classified for low dimensional associative (see [22, 23]) and Jordan algebras. Since the variety of 2-dimensional algebras is simply $\mathbf{k}^8$, it is clear that there is only one irreducible component and there are no rigid algebras. Thus, this problem is not relevant for the variety of all 2-dimensional algebras. Nevertheless, it is relevant for subvarieties. In the last part we apply our results about the variety of all 2-dimensional algebras to its subvarieties consisting of flexible and bicommutative algebras. We describe all degenerations and closures of orbits in these varieties. In particular, we classify the irreducible components and rigid algebras. Our results allow to get such descriptions and classifications for varieties of 2-dimensional algebras defined by any identities without any problems.

2. Definitions and notation

During the paper we fix an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$, a 2-dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-linear vector space V and a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of V. All spaces in this paper are considered over $\mathbf{k}$, and we write simply dim, Hom and $\otimes$ instead of $dim_{\mathbf{k}}$, $Hom_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\otimes_{\mathbf{k}}$. An algebra A is a set with a structure of a vector space and a binary operation that induces a bilinear map from $A \times A$ to A.

Since this paper is devoted to 2-dimensional algebras, we give all definitions and notation only for this case, though everything in this section can be rewritten for any dimension.

The set $\mathcal{A}_2 := Hom(V \otimes V, V) \cong V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V$ is a vector space of dimension 8. This space has a structure of the affine variety $\mathbf{k}^8$. Indeed, any $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_2$ is determined by 8 structure constants $c_{ij}^k \in \mathbf{k}$ $(i, j, k = 1, 2)$ such that $\mu(e_i \otimes e_j) = c_{ij}^1 e_1 + c_{ij}^2 e_2$. A subset of $\mathcal{A}_2$ is *Zariski-closed* if it can be defined by a set of polynomial equations in the variables c_{ij}^k.

The general linear group $GL(V)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_2$ by conjugations:

$$(g * \mu)(x \otimes y) = g\mu(g^{-1}x \otimes g^{-1}y)$$

for $x, y \in V$, $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_2$ and $g \in GL(V)$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}_2$ is decomposed into $GL(V)$-orbits that correspond to the isomorphism classes of 2-dimensional algebras. Thus, an algebraic classification of 2-dimensional algebras is the classification of $GL(V)$-orbits.

Let $O(\mu)$ denote the orbit of $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_2$ under the action of $GL(V)$ and $\overline{O(\mu)}$ denote the Zariski closure of $O(\mu)$. Let A and B be two 2-dimensional algebras and $\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{A}_2$ represent A and B respectively. We say that A degenerates to B and write $A \to B$ if $\lambda \in \overline{O(\mu)}$. Note that in this case we have $\overline{O(\lambda)} \subset \overline{O(\mu)}$. Hence, the definition of a degeneration doesn't depend on the choice of μ and λ. If $A \ncong B$, then the assertion $A \to B$ is called a *proper degeneration*. We write $A \not\to B$ if $\lambda \notin \overline{O(\mu)}$. Let now $A(*) := \{A(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a set of 2-dimensional algebras and $\mu(\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}_2$ represent $A(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in I$. If $\lambda \in \overline{\{O(\mu(\alpha))\}_{\alpha \in I}}$, then we write $A(*) \to B$ and say that $A(*)$ degenerates to B. In the opposite case we write $A(*) \not\to B$.

Let $A(*)$, B, $\mu(\alpha)$ $(\alpha \in I)$ and λ be as above. Let c_{ij}^k $(i, j, k = 1, 2)$ be the structure constants of λ in the basis e_1, e_2. If we construct $a_i^j : \mathbf{k}^* \to \mathbf{k}$ $(i, j = 1, 2)$ and $f : \mathbf{k}^* \to I$ such that $a_1^1(t)e_1 + a_1^2(t)e_2$ and $a_2^1(t)e_1 + a_2^2(t)e_2$ form a basis of V for any $t \in \mathbf{k}^*$, and the structure constants of $\mu_{f(t)}$ in this basis are such polynomials $c_{ij}^k(t) \in \mathbf{k}[t]$ that $c_{ij}^k(0) = c_{ij}^k$, then $A(*) \to B$. In this case $(a_1^1(t)e_1 + a_1^2(t)e_2, a_2^1(t)e_1 + a_2^2(t)e_2)$ and $f(t)$ are called a *parametrized basis* and a *parametrized index* for $A(*) \to B$ respectively. The case of degeneration between two algebras corresponds to the case $|I| = 1$. In this case we need only parametrized basis, because $f(t)$ is the unique element of I for any $t \in \mathbf{k}^*$.

We take the ideas for proving non-degenerations from [25]. Let Q be a set of polynomial equations in variables $x_{i,j}^k$ $(i, j, k = 1, 2)$. Suppose that Q satisfies the following property. If $x_{i,j}^k = c_{ij}^k$ is a solution to all equations in Q, then $x_{i,j}^k = \tilde{c}_{ij}^k$ is a solution to all equations in Q too in the following cases:

(1) there are $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbf{k}^*$ such that $\tilde{c}_{ij}^k = \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{\alpha_k} c_{ij}^k$;
(2) there is $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ such that

$$\tilde{c}_{11}^1 = c_{11}^1 + \alpha(c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1) + \alpha^2 c_{22}^1,\ \tilde{c}_{21}^1 = c_{21}^1 + \alpha c_{22}^1,\ \tilde{c}_{12}^1 = c_{12}^1 + \alpha c_{22}^1,\ \tilde{c}_{22}^1 = c_{22}^1,$$
$$\tilde{c}_{11}^2 = c_{11}^2 + \alpha(c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2 - c_{11}^1) + \alpha^2(c_{22}^2 - c_{12}^1 - c_{21}^1) - \alpha^3 c_{22}^1,$$
$$\tilde{c}_{21}^2 = c_{21}^2 + \alpha(c_{22}^2 - c_{21}^1) - \alpha^2 c_{22}^1,\ \tilde{c}_{12}^2 = c_{12}^2 + \alpha(c_{22}^2 - c_{12}^1) - \alpha^2 c_{22}^1,\ \tilde{c}_{22}^2 = c_{22}^2 - \alpha c_{22}^1.$$

Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ be a set of all algebra structures whose structure constants satisfy all equations in Q. We will call such a set $\mathcal{R}$ a *closed upper invariant set*. Let $\{A(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a set of 2-dimensional algebras such that $A(\alpha)$ can be represented by a structure from $\mathcal{R}$ for any $\alpha \in I$. Let B be a 2-dimensional algebra represented by the structure $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_2$. If $O(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{R} = \varnothing$, then $A(*) \not\to B$. In this case we call $\mathcal{R}$ a *separating set* for $A(*) \not\to B$.

Let us recall two more tools for proving degenerations and non-degenerations. Firstly, if $A \to B$, then $dim\, Aut(A) < dim\, Aut(B)$. Note that if $A(*) \not\to B$, then either $dim\, Aut(A(\alpha)) = dim\, Aut(B)$ for infinitely many $\alpha \in I$ or $dim\, Aut(A(\alpha)) < dim\, Aut(B)$ for some $\alpha \in I$, but it is possible that $dim\, Aut(A(\alpha)) \geq dim\, Aut(B)$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Note also that $dim\, Aut(A) = dim\, Der(A)$. Secondly, if $A \to C$ and $C \to B$ then $A \to B$. If there is no C such that $A \to C$ and $C \to B$ are proper degenerations, then the assertion $A \to B$ is called a *primary degeneration*. If there are no C and D such that $C \to A$, $B \to D$, $C \not\to D$ and one of the assertions $C \to A$ and $B \to D$ is a proper degeneration, then the assertion $A \not\to B$ is called a *primary non-degeneration*. It suffices to prove only primary degenerations and non-degenerations to describe degenerations in the variety under consideration. Note also that any algebra degenerates to the algebra with zero multiplication.

3. Algebraic classification

The first of our aims is to classify all 2-dimensional algebras over $\mathbf{k}$ modulo isomorphism. Our classification is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a 2-dimensional algebra. Then there exists a non-zero element $x \in A$ such that x and x^2 are linearly dependent.*

Proof. If $c_{22}^1 = 0$, we can take $x = e_2$. Suppose that $c_{22}^1 \neq 0$. Let us define $x_t = e_1 + te_2$ for $t \in \mathbf{k}$. Since

$$x_t^2 = (c_{11}^1 + (c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1)t + c_{22}^1 t^2)e_1 + (c_{11}^2 + (c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2)t + c_{22}^2 t^2)e_2,$$

x_t and x_t^2 are linearly dependent iff

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} c_{11}^1 + (c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1)t + c_{22}^1 t^2 & c_{11}^2 + (c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2)t + c_{22}^2 t^2 \\ 1 & t \end{vmatrix} = c_{22}^1 t^3 + At^2 + Bt + C,$$

where $A, B, C \in \mathbf{k}$ are some elements depending only on the structure constants. Since $c_{22}^1 \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed, there exists t such that x_t and x_t^2 are linearly dependent.

□

Note that if $x \in A$ and x^2 are linearly dependent, then either $x^2 = 0$ or $x = \alpha e$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and some $e \in A$ such that $e^2 = e$. If $x^2 = 0$, then x is called a *2-nil element*. An element e such that $e^2 = e$ is called an *idempotent*.

Corollary 2. *Any 2-dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-algebra belongs to one of the following disjoint classes:*

- **A**. *algebras that don't have nonzero idempotents and have a unique 1-dimensional subspace of 2-nil elements;*
- **B**. *algebras that don't have nonzero idempotents and have two linearly independent 2-nil elements;*
- **C**. *algebras that have a unique nonzero idempotent and don't have nonzero 2-nil elements;*
- **D**. *algebras that have a unique nonzero idempotent and a nonzero 2-nil element;*
- **E**. *algebras that have two different nonzero idempotents.*

Proof. The fact that the classes are disjoint is obvious. The fact that any 2-dimensional algebra belongs to one of the classes follows easily from Lemma 1 and the remark after it.

□

To give the classification of 2-dimensional algebras we have to introduce some notation. Let us consider the action of the cyclic group $C_2 = \langle \rho \mid \rho^2 \rangle$ on $\mathbf{k}$ defined by the equality ${}^{\rho}\alpha = -\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$. Let us fix some set of representatives of orbits under this action and denote it by $\mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$. For example, if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, then one can take $\mathbb{C}_{\geq 0} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid Re(\alpha) > 0\} \cup \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid Re(\alpha) = 0, Im(\alpha) \geq 0\}$.

Let us also consider the action of C_2 on $\mathbf{k}^2$ defined by the equality ${}^{\rho}(\alpha, \beta) = (1 - \alpha + \beta, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k}^2$. Let us fix some set of representatives of orbits under this action and denote it by $\mathcal{U}$. Let us also define $\mathcal{T} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \mid \alpha + \beta = 1\}$.

Given $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbf{k}^4$, we define $\mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (\alpha + \gamma)(\beta + \delta) - 1$. We define $\mathcal{C}_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (\beta, \delta)$, $\mathcal{C}_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (\gamma, \alpha)$, and $\mathcal{C}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \left(\frac{\beta\gamma - (\alpha-1)(\delta-1)}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)}, \frac{\alpha\delta - (\beta-1)(\gamma-1)}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)}\right)$ for $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ such that $\mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \neq 0$. Let us consider the set $\left\{\left(\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_3(\Gamma)\right) \mid \Gamma \in \mathbf{k}^4, \mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \neq 0, \mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}\right\} \subset (\mathbf{k}^2)^3$. One can show that the symmetric group S_3 acts on this set by the equality ${}^{\sigma}\left(\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_3(\Gamma)\right) = \left(\mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{-1}(3)}(\Gamma)\right)$ for $\sigma \in S_3$. Note that there exists a

set of representatives of orbits under this action $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ such that if $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3) \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 \neq \mathcal{C}_2$, then $\mathcal{C}_3 \neq \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$. Let us fix such $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and define

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\Gamma \in \mathbf{k}^4 \mid \mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \neq 0; \mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}, \big(\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_3(\Gamma)\big) \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}\}.$$

For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{V}$, we also define $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma) = \{\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_3(\Gamma)\} \subset \mathbf{k}^2$.

Let us consider the action of the cyclic group C_2 on $\mathbf{k}^* \setminus \{1\}$ defined by the equality ${}^{\rho}\alpha = \alpha^{-1}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Let us fix some set of representatives of orbits under this action and denote it by $\mathbf{k}^*_{>\mathbf{1}}$. For example, if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, then one can take $\mathbb{C}^*_{>1} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid |\alpha| > 1\} \cup \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid |\alpha| = 1, 0 < arg(\alpha) \leq \pi\}$. For $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \times \mathbf{k}^*_{>1}$ we define

$$\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left\{ \big(\alpha\gamma, (1-\alpha)\gamma\big), \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}, \frac{1-\beta}{\gamma}\right) \right\} \subset \mathbf{k}^2.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ be the set formed by the following algebra structures on V:

$\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1 + e_2$,	$e_1e_2 = \alpha e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = (1-\alpha)e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{A}_2$	$e_1e_1 = e_2$,	$e_1e_2 = e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = -e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{A}_3$	$e_1e_1 = e_2$,	$e_1e_2 = 0$,	$e_2e_1 = 0$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$	$e_1e_1 = \alpha e_1 + e_2$,	$e_1e_2 = e_1 + \alpha e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = -e_1$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$	$e_1e_1 = 0$,	$e_1e_2 = (1-\alpha)e_1 + e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \alpha e_1 - e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$	$e_1e_1 = 0$,	$e_1e_2 = (1-\alpha)e_1$,	$e_2e_1 = \alpha e_1$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{B}_3$	$e_1e_1 = 0$,	$e_1e_2 = e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = -e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$	$e_1e_1 = e_2$,	$e_1e_2 = (1-\alpha)e_1 + \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \alpha e_1 - \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = e_2$
$\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{U}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = (1-\alpha)e_1 + \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \alpha e_1 - \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \setminus \mathcal{T}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = \alpha e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \setminus \mathcal{T}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = e_1 + \alpha e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = -e_1 + \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = 0$
$\mathbf{E}_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = e_2$
$\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \mathbf{k} \times \mathcal{T}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = (1-\alpha)e_1 + \beta e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \alpha e_1 + \gamma e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = e_2$
$\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \times \mathbf{k}^*_{>1}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = (1-\alpha)\gamma e_1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \alpha\gamma e_1 + \frac{1-\beta}{\gamma} e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = e_2$
$\mathbf{E}_4$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = e_1 + e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = 0$,	$e_2e_2 = e_2$
$\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$	$e_1e_1 = e_1$,	$e_1e_2 = (1-\alpha)e_1 + \alpha e_2$,	$e_2e_1 = \alpha e_1 + (1-\alpha)e_2$,	$e_2e_2 = e_2$

This section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem that gives an algebraic classification of 2-dimensional algebras over $\mathbf{k}$.

Theorem 3. *Any non-trivial* 2*-dimensional* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra can be represented by a unique structure from* $\mathcal{F}$*.*

In other words, Theorem 3 states that $\mathcal{A}_2 = \bigcup\limits_{\mu \in \mathcal{F}} O(\mu) \cup \{\mathbf{k^2}\}$ and that, if $\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ are different structures, then $O(\mu) \cap O(\lambda) = \varnothing$. Whenever an algebra named A appears in this section, we suppose that it is represented by some structure from $\mathcal{A}_2$ with structure constants c^k_{ij} $(i, j, k = 1, 2)$. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3. According to Corollary 2, it suffices to consider each of the classes $\mathbf{A}$–$\mathbf{E}$ separately.

Lemma 4. *If* A *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{A}$*, then it can be represented by a unique structure from the set*

$$\{\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{k}} \cup \{\mathbf{A}_2\} \cup \{\mathbf{A}_3\} \cup \{\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let us represent the algebra A by a structure such that $e_2e_2 = 0$. It is easy to see that A belongs to the class $\mathbf{A}$ iff $x_t = e_1 + te_2$ and x_t^2 are linearly independent for any $t \in \mathbf{k}$. Since

$$x_t^2 = (c^1_{11} + (c^1_{12} + c^1_{21})t)e_1 + (c^2_{11} + (c^2_{12} + c^2_{21})t)e_2,$$

x_t and x_t^2 are linearly independent iff

$$0 \neq \begin{vmatrix} c^1_{11} + (c^1_{12} + c^1_{21})t & c^2_{11} + (c^2_{12} + c^2_{21})t \\ 1 & t \end{vmatrix} = (c^1_{12} + c^1_{21})t^2 + (c^1_{11} - c^2_{12} - c^2_{21})t - c^2_{11}.$$

Since by our assumption x_t and x_t^2 are linearly independent for any $t \in \mathbf{k}$, we have $c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1 = 0$, $c_{11}^1 = c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2$, and $c_{11}^2 \neq 0$.

Now we have four cases:

- $c_{12}^1 = 0$, $c_{11}^1 \neq 0$. Considering the basis $\frac{e_1}{c_{11}^1}$, $\frac{c_{11}^2 e_2}{(c_{11}^1)^2}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{A}_1\left(\frac{c_{21}^2}{c_{11}^1}\right)$.
- $c_{12}^1 = 0$, $c_{12}^2 = -c_{21}^2 \neq 0$. Considering the basis $\frac{e_1}{c_{12}^2}$, $\frac{c_{11}^2 e_2}{(c_{12}^2)^2}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{A}_2$.
- $c_{12}^1 = c_{12}^2 = c_{21}^2 = 0$. Considering the basis e_1, $c_{11}^2 e_2$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{A}_3$.
- $c_{12}^1 \neq 0$. Let $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ be such that $c_{11}^2 c_{12}^1 a^2 = 1$ and $c_{11}^1 a \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$. Considering the basis $a\left(e_1 - \frac{c_{21}^2}{c_{12}^1} e_2\right)$, $\frac{e_2}{c_{12}^1}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{A}_4(c_{11}^1 a)$.

It remains to prove that any two different structures from the set (1) represent non-isomorphic algebras. Firstly, note that $dim(\mathbf{A}_2)^2 = dim(\mathbf{A}_3)^2 = 1$ while $dim(\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha))^2 = dim(\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha))^2 = 2$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$. We have also $\mathbf{A}_2 \ncong \mathbf{A}_3$, because $\mathbf{A}_3$ has a nonzero annihilator.

Suppose that A is represented by the structure $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$. Then there exists $x \in A$ such that $x^2 = 0$, $xA + Ax \subset \langle x \rangle$, and $\alpha xy = (1-\alpha)yx$ for any $y \in A$. Such an element doesn't exist in $\mathbf{A}_4(\beta)$ for any $\beta \in \mathbf{k}$ and in $\mathbf{A}_1(\beta)$ for any $\beta \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{\alpha\}$.

Suppose that A is represented by the structure $\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$. Suppose that the structure constants of A in the basis E_1, E_2 equal the structure constants of $\mathbf{A}_4(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$. Since $E_2E_2 = 0$ and $E_2E_1 = -E_1$, it is easy to see that $E_2 = e_2$ and $E_1 = ae_1$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Then we obtain from the equality $E_1E_1 = \beta E_1 + E_2$ that $a = \pm 1$ and $\beta = \pm\alpha$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$, we have $\beta = \alpha$.

□

Lemma 5. *If A belongs to the class $\mathbf{B}$, then it can be represented by a unique structure from the set*

$$\{\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{k}} \cup \{\mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{k}} \cup \{\mathbf{B}_3\}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let us represent the algebra A by a structure such that $e_1e_1 = e_2e_2 = 0$. For $s, t \in \mathbf{k}$, let us define $x_{s,t} = se_1 + te_2$. Suppose that there are $s, t \in \mathbf{k}^*$ such that $0 = x_{s,t}^2 = st(e_1e_2 + e_2e_1)$. Then $e_1e_2 + e_2e_1 = 0$ and A is anticommutative. It is easy to see that any 2-dimensional anticommutative algebra either has the trivial multiplication or can be represented by $\mathbf{B}_3$ (note that by our definition A is anticommutative iff $x^2 = 0$ for any $x \in A$).

Suppose now that $x_{s,t}^2 \neq 0$ for any $s, t \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Since A doesn't have idempotents, $x_{s,t}$ and $x_{s,t}^2$ are linearly independent for $s, t \in \mathbf{k}^*$. It is easy to check that $x_{s,t}$ and $x_{s,t}^2$ are linearly dependent for $s = c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2$, $t = c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1$. Hence, $c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1 = 0$ or $c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2 = 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2 = 0$. Since A is not anticommutative, we have $c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1 \neq 0$ in this case.

If $c_{12}^2 \neq 0$, then, considering the basis $\frac{e_1}{c_{12}^2}$, $\frac{e_2}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{B}_1\left(\frac{c_{21}^1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}\right)$. If $c_{12}^2 = 0$, then, considering the basis e_1, $\frac{e_2}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{B}_2\left(\frac{c_{21}^1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}\right)$.

It remains to prove that any two different structures from the set (2) represent non-isomorphic algebras. Since $\mathbf{B}_3$ is anticommutative, it is not isomorphic to other algebras from (2). Note also that $dim(\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha))^2 = 2 > 1 = dim(\mathbf{B}_2(\beta))^2$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$.

Suppose that A is represented by the structure $\mathbf{B}_i(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ and $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that the structure constants of A in the basis E_1, E_2 equal the structure constants of $\mathbf{B}_i(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbf{k}$. Since $E_1E_1 = E_2E_2 = 0$, we have either $E_1 = ae_1$, $E_2 = be_2$ or $E_1 = ae_2$, $E_2 = be_1$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Since $E_1E_2 + E_2E_1 = E_1$, we have $E_1 = ae_1$ and $E_2 = e_2$. Then we get $\beta = \alpha$ from the equality $E_1E_2 = (1-\beta)E_1 + (2-i)E_2$.

□

Lemma 6. *If A belongs to the class $\mathbf{C}$, then it can be represented by $\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ for a unique pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$.*

Proof. Let us represent the algebra A by a structure such that $e_2e_2 = e_2$. It is easy to see that A belongs to the class $\mathbf{C}$ iff $x_t = e_1 + te_2$ and x_t^2 are linearly independent for any $t \in \mathbf{k}$. Since

$$x_t^2 = (c_{11}^1 + (c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1)t)e_1 + (c_{11}^2 + (c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2)t + t^2)e_2,$$

x_t and x_t^2 are linearly independent iff

$$0 \neq \begin{vmatrix} c_{11}^1 + (c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1)t & c_{11}^2 + (c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2)t + t^2 \\ 1 & t \end{vmatrix} = (c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1 - 1)t^2 + (c_{11}^1 - c_{12}^2 - c_{21}^2)t - c_{11}^2.$$

Since by our assumption x_t and x_t^2 are linearly independent for any $t \in \mathbf{k}$, we have $c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1 = 1$, $c_{11}^1 = c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2$, and $c_{11}^2 \neq 0$.

Let a be such an element of $\mathbf{k}^*$ that $c_{11}^2 a^2 = 1$ and $a(c_{12}^2 - c_{11}^1 c_{21}^1) \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$. Considering the basis $a(e_1 - c_{11}^1 e_2)$, e_2 of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{C}\left(c_{21}^1, a(c_{12}^2 - c_{11}^1 c_{21}^1)\right)$.

Suppose that A is represented by the structure $\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ for some pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$. Suppose that the structure constants of A in the basis E_1, E_2 equal the structure constants of $\mathbf{C}(\gamma, \delta)$ for some $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$. Since $E_2 E_2 = E_2$ and $\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ has a unique idempotent, we have $E_2 = e_2$. We get $E_1 = \pm e_1$ from the equality $E_1 E_1 = E_2$. Then $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\delta = \pm\beta$. Since $\beta, \delta \in \mathbf{k}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}$, we have $(\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

□

Lemma 7. *If A belongs to the class $\mathbf{D}$, then it can be represented by a unique structure from the set*

$$\{\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)\}_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{U}} \cup \{\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)\}_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbf{k}^2\setminus\mathcal{T}} \cup \{\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)\}_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbf{k}^2\setminus\mathcal{T}}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let us represent the algebra A by a structure such that $e_1 e_1 = e_1$ and $e_2 e_2 = 0$.

Let us consider the following cases:

- $c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1 \neq 0$. If $c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2 \neq 0$, then one can check that $\frac{1}{c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2}\left(e_1 + \frac{c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2 - 1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1} e_2\right)$ is an idempotent that is not equal to e_1. Thus, $c_{12}^2 + c_{21}^2 = 0$. If $\left(\frac{c_{12}^1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}, c_{12}^2\right) \in \mathcal{U}$, then, considering the basis e_1, $\frac{e_2}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{D}_1\left(\frac{c_{12}^1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}, c_{12}^2\right)$. If $\left(\frac{c_{12}^1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}, c_{12}^2\right) \notin \mathcal{U}$, then $\left(\frac{c_{21}^1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1} + c_{12}^2, c_{12}^2\right) \in \mathcal{U}$ and, considering the basis e_1, $e_1 - \frac{e_2}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{D}_1\left(\frac{c_{21}^1}{c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^1} + c_{12}^2, c_{12}^2\right)$.
- $c_{12}^1 = -c_{21}^1 \neq 0$. Considering the basis e_1, $\frac{e_2}{c_{12}^1}$ of V, one can check that A can be represented by $\mathbf{D}_3(c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2)$. Since $e_1 + e_2$ is not idempotent, $(c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2) \notin \mathcal{T}$.
- $c_{12}^1 = c_{21}^1 \neq 0$. Then one can check that A is represented by $\mathbf{D}_2(c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2)$. Since $e_1 + e_2$ is not idempotent, $(c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2) \notin \mathcal{T}$.

It remains to prove that any two different structures from the set (3) represent non-isomorphic algebras.

Suppose that A is represented by the structure $\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$ for some pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that $e_2 e_2 = (e_1 - e_2)^2 = 0$ in $\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$ while the structures $\mathbf{D}_2(\gamma, \delta)$ and $\mathbf{D}_3(\gamma, \delta)$ have a unique 1-dimensional subspace of 2-nil elements for any pair $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbf{k}^2$. Suppose now that the structure constants of A in the basis E_1, E_2 equal the structure constants of $\mathbf{D}_1(\gamma, \delta)$ for some pair $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{U}$. Since E_1 is an idempotent and $\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$ has a unique idempotent, we have $E_1 = e_1$. Since $E_2 E_2 = 0$, we have either $E_2 = a e_2$ or $E_2 = a(e_1 - e_2)$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. We obtain $a = 1$ in both cases from the equality $E_1 E_2 + E_2 E_1 = E_1$. Then we have $\delta = \beta$ and either $\gamma = \alpha$ or $\gamma = 1 - \alpha + \beta$. Since $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{U}$, we have $(\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Suppose that A is represented by the structure $\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)$ for some pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k}^2$. Note that A has an element x such that $x^2 = 0$ and $xA + Ax \subset \langle x \rangle$ while $\mathbf{D}_3(\gamma, \delta)$ doesn't have such an element for any pair $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbf{k}^2$. Suppose now that the structure constants of A in the basis E_1, E_2 equal the structure constants of $\mathbf{D}_2(\gamma, \delta)$ for some pair $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbf{k}^2$. Since E_1 is an idempotent and $\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)$ has a unique idempotent, we have $E_1 = e_1$. Since $E_2 E_2 = 0$, we have $E_2 = a e_2$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Then it is easy to see that $(\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Finally, suppose that A is represented by the structure $\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$ for some pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{k}^2$. Suppose that the structure constants of A in the basis E_1, E_2 equal the structure constants of $\mathbf{D}_3(\gamma, \delta)$ for some pair $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbf{k}^2$. Since E_1 is an idempotent and $\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$ has a unique idempotent, we have $E_1 = e_1$. Since $E_2 E_2 = 0$, we have $E_2 = a e_2$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Then it is easy to see that $a = 1$ and $(\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

□

As a consequence of the proofs of Lemmas 4–7 we can describe the automorphism groups of the algebras of the classes $\mathbf{A}$–$\mathbf{D}$.

Corollary 8. 1. *$Aut(\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)) \cong Aut(\mathbf{A}_2)$ is isomorphic to the additive group of* $\mathbf{k}$.

2. *$Aut(\mathbf{A}_3)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbf{k})$ formed by matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a^2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $b \in \mathbf{k}$.*

3. $Aut(\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)) \cong C_2$ *if* $\alpha = 0$ *and* $char\mathbf{k} \neq 2$; $Aut(\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha))$ *is trivial if either* $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$ *or* $\alpha = 0$ *and* $char\mathbf{k} = 2$.
4. $Aut(\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha))$ *is trivial;* $Aut(\mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)) \cong \mathbf{k}^*$.
5. $Aut(\mathbf{B}_3)$ *is isomorphic to the subgroup of* $GL_2(\mathbf{k})$ *formed by matrices of the form* $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ *and* $b \in \mathbf{k}$.
6. $Aut(\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)) \cong C_2$ *if* $\beta = 0$ *and* $char\mathbf{k} \neq 2$; $Aut(\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta))$ *is trivial if either* $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$ *or* $\alpha = 0$ *and* $char\mathbf{k} = 2$.
7. $Aut(\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)) \cong C_2$ *if* $\beta = 2\alpha - 1$ *and* $Aut(\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta))$ *is trivial if* $\beta \neq 2\alpha - 1$.
8. $Aut(\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)) \cong \mathbf{k}^*$ *and* $Aut(\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta))$ *is trivial if* $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$.
In particular,

$$dim\, Aut(\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{C}_1(\alpha, \beta)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)) = 0,$$

$dim\, Aut(\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{A}_2) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)) = 1$ *and* $dim\, Aut(\mathbf{A}_3) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{B}_3) = 2$.

Proof. 1–3. Any structure of the class $\mathbf{A}$ has a unique subspace of 2-nil elements generated by e_2. Thus, any automorphism of such an algebra sends e_1 and e_2 to $ae_1 + be_2$ and ce_2 respectively, where $a, c \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $b \in \mathbf{k}$. It is easy to check that $a = c = 1$ for $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$; $c = a^2$ for $\mathbf{A}_3$; $a = \pm 1$, $b = 0$ and $c = 1$ for $\mathbf{A}_4(0)$; and $a = c = 1$, $b = 0$ for $\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$ if $\alpha \neq 0$.

4. It follows from the proof of Lemma 5 that any automorphism of the algebra $\mathbf{B}_i(\alpha)$, where $i \in \{1, 2\}$, sends e_1 and e_2 to ae_1 and e_2 respectively for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. It is easy to see that $a = 1$ for $i = 1$ and a can be arbitrary for $i = 2$.

5. Since $\mathbf{B}_3(V, V)$ is generated by e_2, any automorphism of $\mathbf{B}_3$ sends e_1 and e_2 to $ae_1 + be_2$ and ce_2 respectively, where $a, c \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $b \in \mathbf{k}$. It is easy to see that such a map is an automorphism iff $a = 1$.

6. It follows from the proof of Lemma 6 that any automorphism of the algebra $\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ sends e_1 and e_2 to $\pm e_1$ and e_2 respectively. It is easy to see that the map that sends e_1 and e_2 to $-e_1$ and e_2 respectively is an automorphism iff $\beta = 0$ or $char\mathbf{k} = 2$.

7. It follows from the proof of Lemma 7 that any automorphism of the algebra $\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$ sends e_1 to e_1 and sends e_2 either to e_2 or $e_1 - e_2$. It is easy to see that the map that sends e_1 and e_2 to e_1 and $e_1 - e_2$ respectively is an automorphism iff $\beta = 2\alpha - 1$.

8. It follows from the proof of Lemma 7 that any automorphism of the algebra $\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)$ sends e_1 and e_2 to e_1 and ae_2 respectively for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. It follows from the same proof that any automorphism of the algebra $\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$ is trivial.

□

We will finish the proof of Theorem 3 in the next section devoted to the algebras of the class $\mathbf{E}$.

4. Algebras of the class $\mathbf{E}$

In this section we consider the algebras of the class $\mathbf{E}$. It is clear that such an algebra is isomorphic to $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)$ for some $\Gamma \in \mathbf{k}^4$. Firstly, we describe isomorphisms inside this set and, thus, finish the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 9. $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_1) \cong \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)$ *iff one of the following conditions holds:*

- $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$;
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_1) = \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2)$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_1) = \mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2)$;
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_1), \mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_1), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_1) \neq \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_1)$, $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2) \neq \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2)$;
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \notin \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2) \neq 0$, *and there is some* $\sigma \in S_3$ *such that* $\mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma_1) = \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(i)}(\Gamma_2)$ *for* $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $g \in GL(V)$ is such that $g * \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_1) = \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)$. Then ge_1 and ge_2 are two linearly independent idempotents of $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)$. Let us describe all nonzero idempotents of this algebra. Let $\Gamma_2 = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ and $u = xe_1 + ye_2$ be some element of V. Then $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)(u, u) = u$ iff $x = x^2 + (\alpha + \gamma)xy$ and $y = (\beta + \delta)xy + y^2$. Let us consider the following cases:

- $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2) = \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{T}$. In this case $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)(u, u) = u$ iff either $x = y = 0$ or $x + y = 1$. Thus, $ge_1 = ae_1 + (1 - a)e_2$ and $ge_2 = be_1 + (1 - b)e_2$ for two different $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$. One can check that in this case $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$.
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2) \neq \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2)$, i.e. $\alpha + \gamma = \beta + \delta = 1$, $\alpha \neq \delta$. As in the previous case, we have $ge_1 = ae_1 + (1 - a)e_2$ and $ge_2 = be_1 + (1 - b)e_2$ for two different $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$. Thus, $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_1) \cong \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)$ iff there exist $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$, $a \neq b$ such that

  $$\Gamma_1 = ((1 - b)\alpha + b\delta, a\beta + (1 - a)\gamma, b\beta + (1 - b)\gamma, (1 - a)\alpha + a\delta).$$

  It is easy to see that such a and b exist iff $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_1), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_1) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_1) \neq \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_1)$.

- one of the following three conditions holds:
  1. $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \notin \mathcal{T}$;
  2. $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2) \notin \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{T}$;
  3. $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \notin \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2) = 0$.

  It is easy to see that, in all of these cases, $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)(u,u) = u$ only for $u \in \{0, e_1, e_2\}$. Thus, either $ge_1 = e_1$, $ge_2 = e_2$ and $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ or $ge_1 = e_2$, $ge_2 = e_1$, $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_1) = \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_1) = \mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2)$.
- $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma_2), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma_2) \notin \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2) \neq 0$. In this case, $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma_2)(u,u) = u$ for $u \in \{0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, where $e_3 = \frac{\alpha+\gamma-1}{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2)} e_1 + \frac{\beta+\delta-1}{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2)} e_2$. Thus, there is $\sigma \in S_3$ such that $ge_i = e_{\sigma(i)}$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$. Then direct calculations show that $\mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma_1) = \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(i)}(\Gamma_2)$ for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$.

□

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. By Corollary 2, the algebra A belongs to one of the classes **A**–**E** and the class containing A is unique. If A belongs to one of the classes **A**–**D**, then the statement of the theorem follows from Lemmas 4–7.

Suppose that A belongs to the class **E**. Then A can be represented by $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)$ for some $\Gamma = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbf{k}^4$. Now we have

- if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) = \mathbf{E}_4(\beta)$;
- if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) \neq \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma)$, then $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) \cong \mathbf{E}_1(1,1,0,0) = \mathbf{E}_4$ by Lemma 9;
- if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) \cong \mathbf{E}_1(1-\beta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \mathbf{E}_2(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)$ by Lemma 9;
- if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) = \mathbf{E}_2(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$;
- if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) = 0$ and $\alpha + \gamma \in \mathbf{k}^*_{>1}$, then $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) = \mathbf{E}_3\big(\gamma(\beta+\delta), \beta(\alpha+\gamma), \alpha+\gamma\big)$;
- if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) = 0$ and $\alpha + \gamma \notin \mathbf{k}^*_{>1}$, then $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) \cong \mathbf{E}_1(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \mathbf{E}_3\big(\beta(\alpha+\gamma), \gamma(\beta+\delta), \beta+\delta\big)$ by Lemma 9;
- if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \neq 0$, then there is a unique $\sigma \in S_3$ such that ${}^{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_3(\Gamma)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and we have $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) \cong \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma')$ by Lemma 9, where $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{V}$ is such that $\mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma') = \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(i)}(\Gamma)$ for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$.

By Lemma 9, the structures from the set

$$\{\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)\}_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{V}} \cup \{\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\}_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in \mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \mathbf{k} \times \mathcal{T}} \cup \{\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\}_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \times \mathbf{k}^*_{>1}} \cup \{\mathbf{E}_4\} \cup \{\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{k}}$$

are pairwise non-isomorphic.

□

As a consequence of the proof of Lemma 9 we can describe the automorphism groups of algebras of the class **E**.

Corollary 10. 1. *For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{V}$, $Aut(\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma))$ is trivial if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) \neq \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma)$, $Aut(\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)) \cong C_2$ if $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \neq (-1,-1)$, $Aut(\mathbf{E}_1(-1,-1,-1,-1)) \cong S_3$ if $char\mathbf{k} \neq 3$.*

2. *$Aut(\mathbf{E}_4)$ and $Aut(\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma))$ are trivial for $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in \mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \mathbf{k} \times \mathcal{T}$.*

3. *For $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \times \mathbf{k}^*_{>1}$, $Aut(\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)) \cong C_2$ if $\gamma = -1$ and $\alpha = \beta$ and $Aut(\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma))$ is trivial otherwise.*

4. *$Aut(\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha))$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbf{k})$ formed by matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1-a & 1-b \end{pmatrix}$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$, $a \neq b$.*

In particular, we have $dim\, Aut(\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)) = dim\, Aut(\mathbf{E}_4) = 0$ and $dim\, Aut(\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)) = 2$.

Proof. 1. Any automorphism of $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)$ has to send e_1 and e_2 to $e_{\sigma(1)}$ and $e_{\sigma(2)}$ respectively for some $\sigma \in S_3$, where e_3 is defined in the proof of Lemma 9. Such a map is an automorphism iff $\mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(i)}(\Gamma)$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) \neq \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma)$, then we have also $\mathcal{C}_3(\Gamma) \neq \mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma)$ and, hence, only identical element of S_3 determines an automorphism. If $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) \neq (-1,-1)$, then one can check that $\mathcal{C}_3(\Gamma) \neq \mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma), \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma)$ and, hence, only identical element of S_3 and the element that swaps e_1 and e_2 determine automorphisms. If $\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}_2(\Gamma) = (-1,-1)$, then any $\sigma \in S_3$ determines an automorphism. Note that $(-1,-1,-1,-1) \in \mathcal{V}$ iff $char\mathbf{k} \neq 3$.

2. Follows directly from the proof of Lemma 9.

3. It follows from the proof of Lemma 9 that an automorphism of $\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is either is trivial or swaps e_1 and e_2. The last mentioned map is an automorphism iff $\gamma=-1$ and $\alpha=\beta$.

4. It follows directly from the proof of Lemma 9 that automorphisms of $\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$ are exactly the linear maps that send e_1 and e_2 to $ae_1+(1-a)e_2$ and $be_1+(1-b)e_2$ for two different $a,b\in\mathbf{k}$.

□

Now we are going to discuss some facts about degenerations of the form $A\to B$, where A is an algebra of the class $\mathbf{E}$. First of all, let us prove the following lemma.

Lemma 11. 1. *For any $\Gamma\in\mathcal{V}$ and $(\beta,\gamma)\in\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ there exists a degeneration $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)\to\mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma)$.*
2. *For any $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\in\mathbf{k}^3\setminus\mathbf{k}\times\mathcal{T}$ there exists a degeneration $\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\to\mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma)$.*
3. *For any $(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon)\in\mathbf{k}^2\times\mathbf{k}^*_{>1}$ and $(\beta,\gamma)\in\mathcal{C}(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon)$ there exists a degeneration $\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon)\to\mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma)$.*

Proof. The parametrized basis $E_1^t=e_1$, $E_2^t=te_2$ gives the degeneration $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)\to\mathbf{D}_2\big(\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma)\big)$ for any $\Gamma\in\mathbf{k}^4$. If $\Gamma\in\mathcal{V}$ and $1\le i\le 3$, then, by Lemma 9, there exists $\Gamma'\in\mathbf{k}^4$ such that $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)\cong\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma')$ and $\mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma)=\mathcal{C}_1(\Gamma')$. Hence, $\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)\cong\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma')\to\mathbf{D}_2\big(\mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma)\big)$. We also have $\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)=\mathbf{E}_1(1-\alpha,\beta,\alpha,\gamma)\to\mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma)$ for $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\in\mathbf{k}^3\setminus\mathbf{k}\times\mathcal{T}$ and

$$\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon)=\mathbf{E}_1\left((1-\alpha)\epsilon,\frac{\delta}{\epsilon},\alpha\epsilon,\frac{1-\delta}{\epsilon}\right)\cong\mathbf{E}_1\left(\frac{1-\delta}{\epsilon},\alpha\epsilon,\frac{\delta}{\epsilon},(1-\alpha)\epsilon\right)\to\mathbf{D}_2\big(\alpha\epsilon,(1-\alpha)\epsilon\big),\mathbf{D}_2\left(\frac{\delta}{\epsilon},\frac{1-\delta}{\epsilon}\right)$$

for $(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon)\in\mathbf{k}^2\times\mathbf{k}^*_{>1}$.

□

Let us define, for $\Gamma=(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)\in\mathbf{k}^4$, the following subset of $\mathcal{A}_2$:

$$\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)=\left\{\mu\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}c^1_{22}=0,\ c^1_{21}=\gamma c^2_{22},\ c^1_{12}=\alpha c^2_{22},\\ \big(1-\gamma-\delta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)c^2_{12}-\big(1-\alpha-\beta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)c^2_{21}=\big(\beta(1-\gamma)-\delta(1-\alpha)\big)c^1_{11},\\ \big(1-\alpha-\beta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)^2c^2_{11}c^2_{22}=(\beta c^1_{11}-c^2_{12})\big(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)c^2_{12}+((\alpha-1)(\delta-1)-\beta\gamma)c^1_{11}\big),\\ \big(1-\gamma-\delta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)^2c^2_{11}c^2_{22}=(\delta c^1_{11}-c^2_{21})\big(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)c^2_{21}+((\beta-1)(\gamma-1)-\alpha\delta)c^1_{11}\big),\\ \big(1-\alpha-\beta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)\big(1-\gamma-\delta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)c^2_{11}c^2_{22}=(\beta c^1_{11}-c^2_{12})\big(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)c^2_{21}+((\beta-1)(\gamma-1)-\alpha\delta)c^1_{11}\big),\\ \big(1-\alpha-\beta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)\big(1-\gamma-\delta(\alpha+\gamma)\big)c^2_{11}c^2_{22}=(\delta c^1_{11}-c^2_{21})\big(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)c^2_{12}+((\alpha-1)(\delta-1)-\beta\gamma)c^1_{11}\big)\end{array}\right\}.$$

Here and further in a definition of a subset of $\mathcal{A}_2$ we always assume by default that $\mu\in\mathcal{A}_2$ and c^k_{ij} $(i,j,k\in\{1,2\})$ are structure constants of μ. The following lemma will allow us to use $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ as a separating set for some non-degenerations. Its proof is a direct calculation and so it is left to a reader.

Lemma 12. *The set $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is closed upper invariant and contains $E_1(\Gamma)$ for any $\Gamma\in\mathbf{k}^4$.*

5. Degenerations of 2-dimensional algebras

In this section we describe all degenerations of 2-dimensional algebras. Note that the results are valid for algebras over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic.

Theorem 13. *$\mathcal{A}_2$ has the following graph of primary degenerations:*



Proof. All primary degenerations that don't follow from Lemma 11 are presented in the following table:

degenerations	parametrized bases	
$\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha) \to \mathbf{A}_3$	$E_1^t = te_1$	$E_2^t = t^2e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha) \to \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = e_1$	$E_2^t = e_1 + t^{-1}e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_2 \to \mathbf{A}_3$	$E_1^t = te_1$	$E_2^t = t^2e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_2 \to \mathbf{B}_3$	$E_1^t = e_1$	$E_2^t = t^{-1}e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha) \to \mathbf{A}_2$	$E_1^t = te_1 - e_2$	$E_2^t = t^2e_2$
$\mathbf{B}_1(\gamma) \to \mathbf{A}_2$	$E_1^t = e_1 + te_2$	$E_2^t = -t^2e_2$
$\mathbf{B}_1(\gamma) \to \mathbf{B}_2(\gamma)$	$E_1^t = te_1$	$E_2^t = e_2$
$\mathbf{B}_2(\gamma) \to \mathbf{A}_3$	$E_1^t = e_1 + te_2$	$E_2^t = te_1$
$\mathbf{C}(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2^t = t^2e_2$
$\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = te_1$	$E_2^t = e_2$
$\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbf{B}_2(1-\alpha+\beta)$	$E_1^t = te_2$	$E_2^t = e_1 - e_2$
$\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbf{D}_2(\beta,-\beta)$	$E_1^t = e_1$	$E_2^t = te_2$
$\mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma) \to \mathbf{A}_3$	$E_1^t = te_1 + te_2$	$E_2^t = t^2e_1 + (\beta+\gamma)t^2e_2$
$\mathbf{D}_3(\beta,\gamma) \to \mathbf{A}_2$	$E_1^t = \frac{t}{1-\beta-\gamma}e_1 - e_2$	$E_2^t = te_2$
$\mathbf{D}_3(\beta,\gamma) \to \mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma)$	$E_1^t = e_1$	$E_2^t = te_2$
$\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \to \mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2^t = (1-\beta-\gamma)t^2e_1$
$\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon) \to \mathbf{B}_2\left(\frac{1-\delta-(1-\alpha)\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}\right)$	$E_1^t = te_1$	$E_2^t = \frac{\epsilon e_1 - e_2}{\epsilon - 1}$
$\mathbf{E}_4 \to \mathbf{B}_3$	$E_1^t = e_1 - e_2$	$E_2^t = te_2$
$\mathbf{E}_4 \to \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = \alpha e_1 + (1-\alpha)e_2$	$E_2^t = (\alpha - t)e_1 + (1-\alpha+t)e_2$

The next table describes separating sets for all required non-degenerations and, thus, finishes the proof of the theorem.

non-degenerations	separating sets
$\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha) \not\to \mathbf{B}_2(\gamma), \mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma), \mathbf{E}_5(\beta)$	$\left\{\mu \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} c^1_{22}=c^2_{22}=c^1_{12}+c^1_{21}=0, c^2_{12}+c^2_{21}=c^1_{11}, \\ \alpha^2 c^1_{12}c^2_{11}=(c^1_{11})^2 \end{array}\right\}$
$\mathbf{B}_1(\gamma) \not\to \mathbf{B}_2(\beta)\ (\beta\neq\gamma), \mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\delta), \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha),$	$\left\{\mu \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} c^1_{22}=c^2_{22}=0, c^2_{12}+c^2_{21}=-c^1_{11}, \\ c^2_{11}(c^1_{21}+c^1_{12})=-(c^1_{11})^2, \gamma c^1_{12}=(1-\gamma)c^1_{21} \end{array}\right\}$
$\mathbf{C}(\alpha,\beta) \not\to \mathbf{B}_2(\gamma), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_2(\gamma,\delta), \mathbf{E}_5(\gamma)\ (\gamma\neq\alpha)$	$\left\{\mu \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} c^1_{22}=0, c^2_{21}+c^2_{12}=c^1_{11}, \\ c^1_{21}=\alpha c^2_{22}, c^1_{12}=(1-\alpha)c^2_{22}, \\ (\alpha c^2_{21}-(1-\alpha)c^2_{12})^2=\beta^2 c^2_{11}c^2_{22} \end{array}\right\}$
$\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta) \not\to \begin{array}{l}\mathbf{B}_2(\gamma)\ (\gamma\notin\{\alpha,1-\alpha+\beta\}), \mathbf{B}_3, \\ \mathbf{D}_2(\gamma,\delta)\ \big((\gamma,\delta)\neq(\beta,-\beta)\big), \mathbf{E}_5(\gamma)\end{array}$	$\left\{\mu \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} c^1_{22}=c^2_{22}=0, \alpha c^1_{12}=(1-\alpha)c^1_{21}, \\ (\alpha-\beta)c^2_{12}-(1-\alpha+\beta)c^2_{21}=\beta c^1_{11}, \\ c^1_{11}(c^2_{12}+c^2_{21})=c^2_{11}(c^1_{12}+c^1_{21}), \end{array}\right\}$
$\mathbf{D}_3(\beta,\gamma) \not\to \mathbf{B}_2(\delta), \mathbf{D}_2(\delta,\epsilon)\ \big((\delta,\epsilon)\neq(\beta,\gamma)\big), \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$	$\left\{\mu \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} c^1_{22}=c^2_{22}=c^1_{12}+c^1_{21}=0, \\ c^2_{12}+c^2_{21}=(\beta+\gamma)c^1_{11}, \\ (1-\beta-\gamma)(c^2_{12}-\beta c^1_{11})c^1_{11}=c^2_{11}c^1_{12} \end{array}\right\}$
$\mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma) \not\to \mathbf{B}_2(\gamma), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma)\ \big((\beta,\gamma)\notin\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)\big), \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$
$\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \not\to \begin{array}{l}\mathbf{B}_2(\delta), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_2(\delta,\epsilon)\ \big((\delta,\epsilon)\neq(\beta,\gamma)\big), \\ \mathbf{E}_5(\delta)\ (\delta\neq\alpha)\end{array}$	$\mathcal{G}(1-\alpha,\beta,\alpha,\gamma)$
$\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon) \not\to \begin{array}{l}\mathbf{B}_2(\gamma)\ \left(\gamma\neq\frac{1-\delta-(1-\alpha)\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}\right), \mathbf{B}_3, \\ \mathbf{D}_2(\beta,\gamma)\ \big((\beta,\gamma)\notin\mathcal{C}(\alpha,\delta,\epsilon)\big), \mathbf{E}_5(\gamma)\end{array}$	$\mathcal{G}\left((1-\alpha)\epsilon, \frac{\delta}{\epsilon}, \alpha\epsilon, \frac{1-\delta}{\epsilon}\right)$
$\mathbf{E}_4 \not\to \mathbf{A}_3$	$\left\{\mu \;\middle|\; c^1_{22}=c^2_{11}=0, c^1_{12}+c^1_{21}=c^2_{22}, c^2_{12}+c^2_{21}=c^1_{11}\right\}$

□

Let us recall that n-dimensional algebra A has a *level* m if

- there exists a sequence of n-dimensional algebras $A_0,\dots,A_m$ such that $A_0=\mathbf{k}^n$, $A_m=A$ and, for $0\le i\le m-1$, one has $A_{i+1}\to A_i$ and $A_{i+1}\not\cong A_i$;
- if $A_0,\dots,A_{m+1}$ is a sequence of algebras such that $A_0=\mathbf{k}^n$, $A_{m+1}=A$, and $A_{i+1}\to A_i$ for $1\le i\le m$, then $A_{i+1}\cong A_i$ for some $1\le i\le m$.

Theorem 13 gives us the following partition of $\mathcal{A}_2$ to levels:

level	0	1	2	3
algebra structures	$\mathbf{k}^2$	$\mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{B}_2(\alpha),$ $\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha,\beta), \mathbf{E}_4$	$\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha), \mathbf{B}_1(\alpha), \mathbf{C}(\alpha,\beta),$ $\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta), \mathbf{D}_3(\alpha,\beta), \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma), \mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$

Note also that the algebras $\mathbf{k}^2$, $\mathbf{B}_3$, $\mathbf{E}_4$ and $\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$ ($\alpha\in\mathbf{k}$) form a closed subset of $\mathcal{A}_2$ that has two interesting descriptions. First of all, these are exactly all 2-dimensional algebras that don't degenerate to $\mathbf{A}_3$. Secondly, any one-generated subalgebra of such an algebra is 1-dimensional and this property doesn't hold for other algebras. In fact, these two descriptions define the same set of algebras in a variety of algebras of any dimension. Note also that $\mathbf{E}_4$ is a unique 2-dimensional algebra of level two that doesn't have non-trivial derivations.

6. Closures for orbits of infinite series

In this section we describe closures of orbits for infinite series from our classification. To make this description nicer and more complete we introduce two additional series and one additional algebra. For $\alpha\in\mathbf{k}$, we introduce $\mathbf{D}'_2(\alpha)=\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha,-\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{D}'_3(\alpha)=\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha,-\alpha)$. Note that $\mathbf{D}'_2(*)\subset\mathbf{D}_2(*)$ and $\mathbf{D}'_3(*)\subset\mathbf{D}_3(*)$. Also we define $\mathbf{A}'_4=\mathbf{A}_4(0)\in\mathbf{A}_4(*)$. Here and further, for a symbol $\mathbf{X}$, we denote by $\mathbf{X}(*)$ the set formed by all $\mathbf{X}(\Gamma)$ that are defined. For example, $\mathbf{D}_2(*)=\{\mathbf{D}_2(\Gamma)\mid\Gamma\in\mathbf{k}^2\}$, $\mathbf{E}_3(*)=\{\mathbf{E}_3(\Gamma)\mid\Gamma\in\mathbf{k}^2\times\mathbf{k}^*\}$.

Theorem 14. *For each row of the following table, the second column contains all isomorphism classes of algebras whose orbits lie in the closure of the orbit of the series of algebras contained in the first column of the same row.*

$\mathbf{A}_1(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(*), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{A}_4(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(*), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{E}_4, \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{B}_1(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4', \mathbf{B}_1(*), \mathbf{B}_2(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{B}_2(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{B}_2(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{C}(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(*), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{C}(*), \mathbf{E}_4, \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{D}_1(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4', \mathbf{B}_1(*), \mathbf{B}_2(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_1(*), \mathbf{D}_2'(*), \mathbf{D}_3'(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{D}_2(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(*), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{B}_2(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_2(*), \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{D}_2'(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_2'(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{D}_3(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(*), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4(*), \mathbf{B}_1(*), \mathbf{B}_2(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_2(*), \mathbf{D}_3(*), \mathbf{E}_4, \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{D}_3'(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4', \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_2'(*), \mathbf{D}_3'(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{E}_1(*)$	$\mathcal{A}_2$
$\mathbf{E}_2(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(*), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4(*), \mathbf{B}_1(*), \mathbf{B}_2(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{C}(*), \mathbf{D}_2(*), \mathbf{D}_3(*), \mathbf{E}_2(*), \mathbf{E}_4, \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{E}_3(*)$	$\mathbf{A}_1(*), \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4(*), \mathbf{B}_1(*), \mathbf{B}_2(*), \mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{D}_1(*), \mathbf{D}_2(*), \mathbf{D}_3(*), \mathbf{E}_3(*), \mathbf{E}_4, \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$
$\mathbf{E}_5(*)$	$\mathbf{B}_3, \mathbf{E}_5(*), \mathbf{k}^2$

Proof. All required degenerations that don't follow from Theorem 13 are proved in the following table:

degenerations	parametrized bases	parametrized indices
$\mathbf{A}_1(*) \to \mathbf{A}_2$	$E_1^t = te_1, E_2^t = t^2e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{t}$
$\mathbf{A}_4(*) \to \mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = te_1 + (1-\alpha)e_2, E_2^t = t^2e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{t}$
$\mathbf{A}_4(*) \to \mathbf{E}_4$	$E_1^t = te_1, E_2^t = te_1 + e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{t}$
$\mathbf{B}_1(*) \to \mathbf{A}_4'$	$E_1^t = -t^{-1}e_1 + te_2, E_2^t = -t^2e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{t^2}$
$\mathbf{B}_2(*) \to \mathbf{A}_2$	$E_1^t = e_1 + te_2, E_2^t = -t^2e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{t}$
$\mathbf{C}(*) \to \mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = te_1 + \alpha e_2, E_2^t = t^2e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(-\frac{1}{t^2}, \frac{\alpha(1+t^2)}{t^3}\right)$
$\mathbf{D}_1(*) \to \mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = te_1, E_2^t = e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(\alpha, \frac{1}{t}\right)$
$\mathbf{D}_1(*) \to \mathbf{D}_3'(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = e_1, E_2^t = te_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(-\frac{1}{t}, \alpha\right)$
$\mathbf{D}_2(*) \to \mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = e_1 + e_2, E_2^t = te_2$	$\epsilon(t) = (\alpha + t, 1 - \alpha)$
$\mathbf{D}_2(*) \to \mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = e_2, E_2^t = te_1$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{t}, \frac{1-\alpha}{t}\right)$
$\mathbf{D}_2'(*) \to \mathbf{A}_2$	$E_1^t = te_1 - e_2, E_2^t = te_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{t}$
$\mathbf{D}_3(*) \to \mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = \alpha e_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha t}e_2, E_2^t = e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(1 + t + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 t}, -\frac{1}{\alpha^2 t}\right)$
$\mathbf{D}_3(*) \to \mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$	$E_1^t = -e_2, E_2^t = te_1$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{t}, \frac{1-\alpha}{t}\right)$
$\mathbf{D}_3'(*) \to \mathbf{A}_4'$	$E_1^t = te_1 - \frac{1}{t}e_2, E_2^t = e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2}$
$\mathbf{E}_2(*) \to \mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$	$E_1^t = t^{-1}e_1 - t^{-1}e_2, E_2^t = e_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(\alpha, \alpha + \beta t, 1 - \alpha - \beta t - t^2\right)$
$\mathbf{E}_2(*) \to \mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$	$E_1^t = e_1, E_2^t = te_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(-\frac{1}{t}, \alpha, \beta\right)$
$\mathbf{E}_3(*) \to \mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$	$E_1^t = e_1, E_2^t = te_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(\alpha, \frac{\beta}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right)$
$\mathbf{E}_3(*) \to \mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$	$E_1^t = e_1, E_2^t = -te_2$	$\epsilon(t) = \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{t}, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}, \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}\right)$
$\mathbf{E}_5(*) \to \mathbf{B}_3$	$E_1^t = te_1, E_2^t = e_2 - e_1$	$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{t}$

The next table describes separating sets for all required non-degenerations and, thus, finishes the proof of the theorem.

non-degenerations	separating sets
$\mathbf{B}_1(*) \not\to \mathbf{D}'_2(\alpha)$	$\{\mu \mid c^1_{22} = c^2_{22} = c^1_{12} + c^1_{21} = c^1_{11} = 0\}$
$\mathbf{C}(*) \not\to \mathbf{B}_2(\alpha), \mathbf{D}_2(\alpha,\beta)\ (\alpha+\beta \neq 1)$	$\{\mu \mid c^1_{22} = 0, c^1_{12} + c^1_{21} = c^2_{22}, c^2_{12} + c^2_{21} = c^1_{11}\}$
$\mathbf{D}_1(*) \not\to \mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)\ (\alpha \neq 0), \mathbf{D}_2(\alpha,\beta)\ (\alpha+\beta \neq 0), \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$	$\{\mu \mid c^1_{22} = c^1_{12} + c^1_{21} = c^1_{11} = 0\}$
$\mathbf{D}'_3(*) \not\to \mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$	$\{\mu \mid c^1_{22} = c^2_{22} = c^1_{12} + c^1_{21} = c^2_{12} + c^2_{21} = 0\}$
$\mathbf{E}_2(*) \not\to \mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta), \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)\ (\Gamma \in \mathcal{V}), \mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\ (\gamma \neq 1)$	$\{\mu \mid c^1_{22} = 0, c^1_{12} + c^1_{21} = c^2_{22}\}$
$\mathbf{E}_3(*) \not\to \mathbf{C}(\alpha,\beta), \mathbf{E}_1(\Gamma)\ (\Gamma \in \mathcal{V}), \mathbf{E}_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\ (\beta+\gamma \neq 1)$	$\{\mu \mid c^1_{22} = c^2_{22} = 0\}$

Note that the structures $\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$, $\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta)$, and $\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ don't lie in the corresponding separating sets, but the structures

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} * \mathbf{B}_1(\alpha),\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} * \mathbf{D}_1(\alpha,\beta),\ \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} * \mathbf{E}_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$$

satisfy the required conditions.

□

Theorems 13 and 14 give the following lattice of subsets for $\mathcal{A}_2$:



Here the leftmost set coincides with $\mathcal{A}_2$ and sets placed in one column have the same dimension equal to the number standing above them. Two sets of dimensions i and $i+1$ are connected by an edge iff the set of dimension $i+1$ contains the set of dimension i. Moreover, if $X, Y \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ correspond to two vertices of the diagram, then $X \cap Y$ is equal to the union of all $Z \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ corresponding to vertices of the diagram such that there exist paths from X to Z and from Y to Z going from left to right. For example, $\overline{O(\mathbf{D}_1(*))} \cap \overline{O(\mathbf{C}(*))} = \overline{O(\mathbf{A}'_4)}$ and $\overline{O(\mathbf{D}_1(*))} \cap \overline{O(\mathbf{D}_2(*))} = \overline{O(\mathbf{B}_2(*))} \cup \overline{O(\mathbf{D}'_2(*))}$.

7. Subvarieties defined by identities

Now we are going to apply the results of previous sections to develop the varieties of 2-dimensional flexible and bicommutative algebras. In particular, we will describe the varieties of commutative and anticommutative algebras. Since there exists only one nontrivial 2-dimensional anticommutative algebra, the last mentioned problem is not of big interest. Note also that in the same way one can recover the results of [2], where the analogous problems were solved for 2-dimensional Novikov and pre-Lie algebras. Since the classifications of flexible and bicommutative algebras depend on the characteristic of the ground field, we assume everywhere in this section that $char\mathbf{k} \neq 2$.

7.1. **Flexible algebras.** By definition, an algebra is called *flexible* if it satisfies the identity $(xy)x = x(yx)$. It is clear that all commutative and anticommutative algebras are flexible. Using Theorem 3, one can verify that in the case of 2-dimensional algebras the opposite inclusion holds, i.e. any 2-dimensional flexible algebra is either commutative or anticommutative. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, let us introduce the algebras

$$\mathbf{D}^c_2(\alpha) = \mathbf{D}_2(\alpha,\alpha),\ \mathbf{E}^c_2(\alpha) = \mathbf{E}_2(\tfrac{1}{2},\alpha,\alpha),\ \mathbf{E}^c_3(\alpha) = \mathbf{E}_3(\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1}{2},\alpha), \text{ and } \mathbf{E}^c_1(\alpha,\beta) = \mathbf{E}_1(\alpha,\beta,\alpha,\beta).$$

It follows from our classification that any nontrivial 2-dimensional commutative algebra can be represented by a unique structure from the set

$$\{\mathbf{A}_1(\tfrac{1}{2}), \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{B}_2(\tfrac{1}{2}), \mathbf{C}(\tfrac{1}{2},0), \mathbf{D}_1(\tfrac{1}{2},0), \mathbf{E}_5(\tfrac{1}{2})\} \cup \{\mathbf{D}_2^c(\alpha), \mathbf{E}_2^c(\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{k}\setminus\{\frac{1}{2}\}} \cup \{\mathbf{E}_3^c(\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{k}^*_{>1}} \cup \{\mathbf{E}_1^c(\alpha,\beta)\}_{(\alpha,\beta,\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{V}}.$$

Using Theorem 13, we get the following graph of primary degenerations for the variety of 2-dimensional flexible algebras:



It is easy to see that the variety of commutative algebras is simply $\mathbf{k}^6$, i.e. irreducible. Then it is clear that the variety of flexible algebras has two irreducible components. The first component is the variety of anticommutative algebras $\overline{O(\mathbf{B}_3)} = O(\mathbf{B}_3)\cup\{\mathbf{k}^2\}$, which is generated by the rigid flexible algebra $\mathbf{B}_3$. The second component, formed by all commutative algebras, is equal to the closure of the orbit of the algebra series $\mathbf{E}_1^c(*)$, doesn't have rigid algebras, and has the following lattice of subsets:



This lattice satisfies the same properties as the lattice from the previous section. To prove this it is enough to use Theorem 14 and its proof. The only difference is that one has to use the parametrized indices $(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{2})$, $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{t^2}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{t^2}{2})$, and $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{t})$ in the degenerations $\mathbf{D}_2(*) \to \mathbf{A}_1(\frac{1}{2})$, $\mathbf{E}_2(*) \to \mathbf{C}(\frac{1}{2},0)$, and $\mathbf{E}_3(*) \to \mathbf{D}_1(\frac{1}{2},0)$ respectively to obtain the degenerations $\mathbf{D}_2^c(*) \to \mathbf{A}_1(\frac{1}{2})$, $\mathbf{E}_2^c(*) \to \mathbf{C}(\frac{1}{2},0)$, and $\mathbf{E}_3^c(*) \to \mathbf{D}_1(\frac{1}{2},0)$.

7.2. **Bicommutative algebras.** The variety of bicommutative algebras (see, for example, [14]) is defined by the identities $x(yz) = y(xz)$ and $(xy)z = (xz)y$. It follows from Theorem 3 that any nontrivial bicommutative algebra is isomorphic to a unique algebra from the set

$$\{\mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{B}_2(0), \mathbf{B}_2(1), \mathbf{D}_1(0,0), \mathbf{D}_1(1,0), \mathbf{D}_2(1,1), \mathbf{D}_2(0,0), \mathbf{E}_1(0,0,0,0)\}.$$

Using Theorem 13, we get the following graph of primary degenerations for the variety of 2-dimensional bicommutative algebras:



Thus, the irreducible components in the variety of 2-dimensional bicommutative algebras are

$$\overline{O\big(\mathbf{D}_1(0,0)\big)} = \{\mathbf{D}_1(0,0), \mathbf{D}_2(0,0), \mathbf{B}_2(0), \mathbf{B}_2(1), \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{k}^2\},$$
$$\overline{O\big(\mathbf{D}_1(1,0)\big)} = \{\mathbf{D}_1(1,0), \mathbf{D}_2(0,0), \mathbf{B}_2(0), \mathbf{B}_2(1), \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{k}^2\},$$
$$\overline{O\big(\mathbf{E}_1(0,0,0,0)\big)} = \{\mathbf{E}_1(0,0,0,0), \mathbf{D}_2(0,0), \mathbf{D}_2(1,1), \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{k}^2\}.$$

These components are generated by the rigid bicommutative algebras $\mathbf{D}_1(0,0)$, $\mathbf{D}_1(1,0)$, and $\mathbf{E}_1(0,0,0,0)$ and all have dimension 4.

References

[1] Ananin A., Mironov A., The moduli space of 2-dimensional algebras, Comm. Algebra, 28 (2000), 9, 4481–4488.
[2] Benes T., Burde D., Degenerations of pre-Lie algebras, J. Math. Phys., 50 (2009), 11, 112102, 9 pp.
[3] Benes T., Burde D., Classification of orbit closures in the variety of three-dimensional Novikov algebras, J. Algebra Appl., 13 (2014), 2, 1350081, 33 pp.
[4] Burde D., Degenerations of nilpotent Lie algebras, Journal of Lie Theory, 9 (1999), 1, 193–202.
[5] Burde D., Degenerations of 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras, Communications in Algebra, 33 (2005), 4, 1259–1277.
[6] Burde D., Steinhoff C., Classification of orbit closures of 4-dimensional complex Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra, 214 (1999), 2, 729–739.
[7] Gorbatsevich V., On contractions and degeneracy of finite-dimensional algebras, Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ), 35 (1991), 10, 17–24.
[8] Gorbatsevich V., Anticommutative finite-dimensional algebras of the first three levels of complexity, St. Petersburg Math. J., 5 (1994), 505–521
[9] Gorbatsevich V., On the level of some solvable Lie algebras, Siberian Math. J., 39 (1998), 5, 872–883
[10] Goze M., Remm E., 2-dimensional algebras, Afr. J. Math. Phys., 10 (2011), 1, 81–91.
[11] Grunewald F., O'Halloran J., Varieties of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than six, J. Algebra, 112 (1988), 315–325.
[12] Grunewald F., O'Halloran J., A Characterization of Orbit Closure and Applications, J. Algebra, 116 (1988), 163–175.
[13] Grunewald F., O'Halloran J., Deformations of Lie algebras, J. Algebra, 162 (1993), 1, 210–224.
[14] Dzhumadil'daev A., Ismailov N., Tulenbaev K., Free bicommutative algebras, Serdica Math. J., 37 (2011), 1, 25–44.
[15] Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., Volkov Yu., Degenerations of binary Lie and nilpotent Malcev algebras, arXiv:1609.07392
[16] Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., Pozhidaev A., Volkov Yu., Degenerations of Zinbiel and nilpotent Leibniz algebras, arXiv:1611.06454
[17] Kaygorodov I., Lopatin A., Popov Yu., Conservative algebras of 2-dimensional algebras, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 486 (2015), 255–274.
[18] Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., Conservative algebras of 2-dimensional algebras, II, Comm. Alg., 45 (2017), .
[19] Khudoyberdiyev A., The classification of algebras of level two, J. Geom. Phys., 98 (2015), 13–20.
[20] Khudoyberdiyev A., Omirov B., The classification of algebras of level one, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 439 (2013), 11, 3460–3463.
[21] Lauret J., Degenerations of Lie algebras and geometry of Lie groups, Differential Geom. Appl., 18 (2003), 2, 177–194.
[22] Mazzola G., The algebraic and geometric classification of associative algebras of dimension five, Manuscripta Math., 27 (1979), 81–101.
[23] Mazzola G., Generic finite schemes and Hochschild cocycles, Comment. Math. Helv. 55 (1980), 267–293.
[24] Petersson H., The classification of two-dimensional nonassociative algebras, Results Math., 37 (2000), no. 1-2, 120–154.
[25] Seeley C., Degenerations of 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$, Comm. Algebra, 18 (1990), 3493–3505.